

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,691 10/03/2003		10/03/2003	Christian Mueller	ITC-338US	9956
23122	7590	02/08/2005		EXAMINER	
RATNERPRESTIA P O BOX 980				KOBERT, RUS	SELL MARC
		PA 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2829	
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Ar				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/678,691	MUELLER, CHR	RISTIAN				
Office Action Sur	nmary	Examiner	Art Unit	T				
		Russell M. Kobert	2829					
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	is communication	appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	ddress				
	COMMUNICATION of 37 CF ate of this communication that the that the that the that the that the maximum statutory period for reply will, by so three months after the results.	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however n. a reply within the statutory minimule eriod will apply and will expire SIX tatute, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered tim (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on 1	10/22/2004, 1/12/2004 a	and 10/3/2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠	This action is non-final.						
* *	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance wit	h the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowable 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8,10-16 and</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,7,9,17,18 and</u> 8) □ Claim(s) are subjective	is/are with owed. <u>/ 19-21</u> is/are reje / <u>22</u> is/are objecte	ndrawn from considerati cted. d to.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is object	ted to by the Exar	miner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _	is/are: a)□	accepted or b) ☐ object	ted to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request t	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
`	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11) I he oath or declaration is	objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the a	ttached Office Action or form F	11O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)		_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-89: Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ring Review (PTO-948	Pa (3/08) 5) No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Sper No(s)/Mail Date Stice of Informal Patent Application (Pinher:	ГО-152)				

Art Unit: 2829

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 8, 10-16 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagakusa et al (5295853).

Nagakusa et al anticipates (Figures 2, 3, 4A, 4B, 5A, 5B and 6) an apparatus and method for establishing a distance between a test head (1) and a peripheral (11), comprising a frame (2) to which one of said test head and said peripheral is docked (Figure 6 shows test head 1 shown docked to the frame 2); and a linear unit (combination of items 5, 7, 8a, 8b) for moving said frame towards or away from a docking surface of the other of said test head and said peripheral (in Nagakusa et al, the frame 2 is moved toward and away from the peripheral 11; col 5, In 14-29); as recited in claims 1 and 12.

As to claims 2 and 13, having one of the test head and peripheral coupled to alignment features (all combined components to guide pin 7, best shown in Figure 3) for docketing one of the test head and peripheral with the other of said test head and peripheral is anticipated by Nagakusa et al.

As to claims 3 and 14, having the linear unit to include one of a male (7 and 7c) and female threaded member attached to the other of the test head and peripheral (11a and 11b, best shown in Figures 3, 5A and 5B) is anticipated by Nagakusa et al.

Page 3

As to claims 4 and 15, having the frame (2) including the other of the male and female threaded member (4) is anticipated by Nagakusa et al.

As to claims 5 and 16, having the one of the male and female threaded member (7) rotated in order to move the frame towards or away from the docking surface of the other of the test head and peripheral (11) is anticipated by Nagakusa et al (col 3, In 56 - col 4, In 48).

As to claims 8 and 19, having the linear unit being one of a plurality of linear units (5, 6, 7, 8a, 8b and 9) for moving the frame is anticipated by Nagakusa et al (col 3, In 42-55).

As to claims 10 and 20, having a crank (Actuator 9) rotated to cause the plurality of linear units to move the frame is anticipated by Nagakusa et al.

As to claims 11 and 21, having the docking surface (that portion of 2 or 11 upon which DUT board 10 abuts, best shown in Figures 4A and 4B) between the frame and one of the test head and peripheral is anticipated by Nagakusa et al.

3. Claims 1, 2, 8, 10-13 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Thurmaier (6836109) (col 1, In 56 - col 2, In 67).

Application/Control Number: 10/678,691

Art Unit: 2829

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter:

Claims 6, 7, 9, 17, 18 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected

Page 4

base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The added limitation of the other of the male and female member being rotated in

order to move the frame towards or away from the docking surface of the other of the

test head and peripheral as further detailed in claims 6 and 17 has not been found.

The added limitation of the linear unit being coupled to a detent plate having a

detent wherein the detent plate is further coupled to the other of the test head and the

peripheral, a lever coupled to the frame wherein the lever engages the detent to indicate

the frame is in an intended position relative to the other of the test head and the

peripheral as further detailed in claims 7 and 18 has not been found.

It is further noted that the examiner's reasons are understood to be predicated

upon consideration of each of the claims as a whole, and not upon any specific

elements of the claims.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Ha et al (6836110) shows a universal tester to handler docking plate.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,691

Art Unit: 2829

Page 5

6. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three month(s) from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response

will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell Kobert whose telephone number is (571) 272-1963. The Examiner's Supervisor, Nestor R. Ramirez, can be reached at (571) 272-2034. For an automated menu of Tech Center 2800 phone numbers call (571) 272-2800.

Russell M. Kobert Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2829

February 4, 2005

VINH NGŰYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

> A.U. 2829 02/04/05